Exhibit 19 to Mao Declaration ISO Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to Amend Complaint

Public Redacted Version

	Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS	Document 297-13	Filed 01/06/23	Page 2 of 8
Message				
From: Sent: To: CC:	David Monsees 9/8/2020 10:26:16 PM Stephen Deguglielmo David Gatwood Jessica Lee Elizabeth Padilla	h] [Market Market Market]; Reed La Botz	; iga-identity-trust@google.com;]; K Kearns
Subject:	Ela Beres []; Mo Re: Disable Device History For Signe	ona Vajolahi d In WAA Off Users]; Henry Wong	h]
managed in	ry on-device features/data (e.g., rondependently of WAA and Searchtrol(s) for non-history features re	h Customization. Add	litionally, I assume v	we want users to be able to
Yeah, I th	ep 8, 2020 at 9:46 AM Stephen D nink this flow was fixed back who vice history, it should show up. C	n we fixed it generall	•	
	Sep 8, 2020 at 12:21 PM David G a "Clear all" button that replaces i		wrote: just like for signed	l-in/WAA on users now.
What is users?	Sep 8, 2020 at 8:39 AM Christins the current plan for history many live got some proposals on solity to clear non-search history	gmt settings when vides 15 nad 16 here	we remove on-dev e, and want to mak	rice history for WAA OFF te sure we don't remove
	as F	ΥI		
On Wed	d, Aug 26, 2020 at 9:51 PM Davi	d Gatwood	wro	ite:
Неу Г	ed, Aug 26, 2020 at 6:45 PM Jess David, let's sync during the User page and we can also discuss mo	Trust meeting tomorro	w before ramping s	
	Ved, Aug 26, 2020, 6:29 PM Davi nwhile for WAA-on users, I'm pl		wro	
Davi	id			

On Wed, Aug 26, 2020 at 4:06 PM Shivani Gupta wrote: I had sent out a super-ft launch email to Suggest leads, but havent heard back yet. I will add people on this thread to the email.

On Wed, Aug 26, 2020 at 4:03 PM Christina Collada wrote: Hey Shivani,

<u>+Elizabeth Padilla</u> as FYI

wrote:

On Wed, Aug 5, 2020 at 4:38 PM Christina Collada

David

#3 continues to be problematic for us. Confusion around deleting search history is a top user issue in iGA, and after reviewing the <u>proposed Help Center content for iGA</u> which seeks to address this, our instructions for signed-in/signed-out users are very convoluted by having on device history for any signed-in users (<u>b/156978614</u>).

Can we time box this iGA effort to 1 or 2 weeks? At some point we need to put the privacy story ahead of the metrics.

Also, for my own curiosity, what do the 1_1, 1_0 and logged out slices represent in R

On Tue, Aug 4, 2020 at 5:35 PM Shivani Gupta wrote: +Chris Jordan +Jessica Lee

Quick update:

Summary of all the experiments we have run until now:

- 1. Web (All modes on Web apart from Images SRP)-
- <u>With Trending Enabled In Web Mode</u> (Trending not launched yet, but we have all the approvals and is blocked on providing users with an opt-out)
- With No Trending (Current Prod)
- 2. <u>VFE</u> (Note that although the overall Images SRP looks imbalanced, the WAA off slice which is the only one affected seems to be balanced)
- 3. <u>iGA</u> This is still very imbalanced, and David will be re-running it yet again.

I will wait till we get a balanced run from iGA and then if the metrics look fine will send out the SFT launch email for approvals.

On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 1:39 PM David Gatwood wrote:

One big difference is that mobile web had State history for a decade and just got on-device suggestions very recently, whereas iGA has had on-device history for about a decade and just got State history recently. For anybody who didn't have sWAA on, some of those folks have 9+ years of on-device history built up, and they're used to having quick access to commonly used queries.

The implementation is too simple to have meaningful bugs. There's a single if statement that gates whether we serve suggestions from history. In the control arm, it returns YES for all users except for signed-in users with sWAA on. In the experiment arm, it returns YES only for signed-out users.

We are seeing an unexpected skew in newly exposed users, so it is possible that this is an artifact. I'll try to rerun the experiment just to be sure.

David

On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 3:03 PM JK Kearns wrote: Thanks Shivani. From what I'm seeing:

; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	
	2) Generally not bad, and could even argue that the increase in Quantum and large drop in Quantum offsets the lower Suggest metrics and increase in Quantum and is a quality win. 3) Small loss in queries, but overall pretty neutral
	Which leaves (1). What does the logged condition represent? It is surprising to me that iGA would be so different from mWeb. Have we considered/investigated if there was a bug in our LE configuration or the implementation?
	On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 12:40 PM Shivani Gupta wrote: Actually use this link instead for 3rd (it only has the WAA off slice) 3. For images mode on SRP - https://rac.corp.google.com/#/metrics?label= :ww7WudeIdG29lR8h_laG9lL7ir4
	On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 12:36 PM Shivani Gupta
	On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 12:23 PM JK Kearns wrote: I'm struggling to reconcile the two R links. The one sent, I agree, looks terrible. The one sent looks like a very reasonable candidate.
	What does the with the logged condition represent?
	On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 12:18 PM Christina Collada Re: promos So long as the promo is not shown again once a user says 'no thanks,' a one-time prompt in Suggest is ok for people who have explicitly turned off WAA. Firefox does this opt-in for all of Suggest. If we add an entrypoint to WAA in settings as well, we could add the value prop of Suggest to the setting description.
	On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 3:05 PM Shivani Gupta It is not as bad on Mobile Web as iGA - https://r corp.google.com/#/metrics?label= :PNGQKPUkNi5OkfF3V9w-Px4a8cI
	David, when we stop showing device history suggestions, do we fill in the menu with trending searches or some other suggestions on iGA? zpS/Q for your experiment has dropped considerably from to the WAA off slice.
	On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 11:59 AM David Monsees wrote: Suggest can definitely ask/promo for WAA (like Discover does). The concern here is user confusion a user experiences when they explicitly turn WAA off but then continue to see search history.

Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS +Christina Collada for Ci considerations (removing on-device history for WAA off users). On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 11:47 AM David Gatwood https://rl corp.google.com/#/metrics?label= :6j 0jFD8T24NEj-2S8Q-aUZZT0Y On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 1:35 PM JK Kearns Can you send the R link please? On Thu, Jul 23, 2020 at 11:30 AM David Gatwood I'm getting more and more uncomfortable with this plan. In the WAA-off slice, I think that this is the single most negative experiment I've ever seen from a Suggest change. The multiday return rate for WAA-off users is down by a whopping 3.09%. By my math, if we actually lose 3% of our WAA off users because of this change, that's potentially half a million lost users on iGA alone. Would the powers that be consider something a little bit less extreme, like adding an opt-in screen on first launch that lets these users choose whether to enable on-device history, WAA/sWAA, or neither? David On Tue, Jul 14, 2020 at 6:51 PM Ela Beres WAA. https://screenshot.googleplex.com/vrHV9OiVfZa.png https://screenshot.googleplex.com/4833cVG2WdO.png

It is true that this is not an uncommon complaint for home/work needed

wrote:

Not sure if users would think of on-device history the same way...But we do see complaints going the way, so I do think it makes sense to turn these off for WAA-off users.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2020 at 4:21 PM JK Kearns I think if we hear that, then that motivates a need for thinking about more options we need to offer users. To me, it feels like a fairly significant bug that a user can choose to turn off WAA but then we still collect and use the data (even locally). For users that want pSuggest, but don't want data collected, I think there's some education required. It doesn't make sense for users to want to turn off x but then want a feature that requires x. To be clear, there is absolutely no motivation to do this to encourage users to turn on WAA. I don't think that would happen anyway, but it hadn't entered my mind until you suggested it.

On Tue, Jul 14, 2020 at 4:10 PM David Gatwood wrote:

To play devil's advocate here, has anybody raised the concern yet that taking away signed-in on-device history for users who have had that feature for almost a decade could be seen as an attempt to pressure users to turn on WAA, i.e. reducing users' privacy choices, rather than improving their privacy? This whole policy shift leaves a really bad taste in my mouth from a privacy/user trust perspective, and I doubt I'm going to be alone in that perception. David On Tue, Jul 14, 2020 at 5:24 PM Ela Beres wrote: Awesome, thanks Shivani! Let's start a launchcal for this, since this is a policy-motivated launch, so metrics are only as an FYI. +JK Kearns +Mona Vajolahi +David Monsees FYI On Tue, Jul 14, 2020 at 12:21 PM Shivani Gupta wrote: Hey Ela, I have started the following experiment to disable device history for signed in waa off users: 1. On all web searchboxes (except images mode on SRP) - R 2. Images mode on SRP - R (Screenshot explaining slices (VFE experiments dont seem to show names correctly) For this experiment I setup the WAA off slice incorrectly and it includes Signed out traffic too, but I am correcting that now. Also, Gatwood started the same experiment for iGSA - R I wanted to understand the next steps for this, we will need an ariane for this right? Thanks Shivani

Christina Collada | Google App Product Team

Christina Collada | Google App Product Team

--Christina Collada | Google App Product Team

Christina Collada | Google App Product Team

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "iGA Identity & Trust" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to iga-identity-trust+unsubscribe@google.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/a/google.com/a/google.com/d/optout. For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/a/google.com/d/optout.